

# Comprehensive Program Review FINALSUMMARYREPORT

Associate Certificate in Exterior Fire Fighter Operations Associate Certificate in Interior Fire Fighter Operations Certificate in Full Servider Fighter Operations

School of Public Safety, Fire & Safety Division



### Comprehensive Program Review Final Summary Report (FSR) EFFOAS/IFFOAS/FSF01

### **Table of Contents**

	Re <b>18:6:3:(ic):</b> 4ti@r(s <b>A)1.9</b>	
	Conclusions	4
1.	SelfStudy Report Summary	.4

# Comprehensive Program Review Final Summary Report (FSR) *EFFOAS/IFFOAS/FSFO1*



#### 1. Self-Study Report Summary

#### Conclusions

The comprehensive review of the EFFOAS, IFFOAS, and FSFO1 programs highlighted the complexities and challenges inherent in their structure, delivery, and administrat Most program delivery occurs at arm's length from JIBC. Students are volunteers for their community's fire department (therefore post employment), admitted to the program at the direction of their Training Officer. Their progression is determined by their department, and JIBC has little ability to influence their retention. The delivery model has made the administration of the programs complex, as it does not align well with JIBC systems, procedures, and processes designed with more conventional credentials in mind. Further, in aligning FSFO1 with the core 21.5 credits of the Certificate in Fire Fighting Technologies program and NFPA 1001, FSFO1 (and therefor also EFFOAS and IFFOAS) is less able to respond to changing requirements as defined by the BC Minimum Training Standards, which are the standards BC fire departments must adhere to. And in fact, while the curriculum mirrors the BC Minimum Training Standards, it is not perfectly aligned Students who complete one, or multiple levels of JIBC's programs do not achieve full compliance with the provincial Standard of the same level, as three components of the Standard that JIBC does not teach.

In consideration of these complexities, and in consultation with the-Vice



#### Comprehensive Program Review Final Summary Report (FSR) EFFOAS/IFFOAS/FSF01

- x Recommendation #2Evaluate FSFO1 against the new consolidated NFPA 1010 Standard for Firefighter, Fire Apparatus Driver/ Operator, Airport Firefighter, and Marine Firefighting for LandBased Firefighters Professional Qualifications and revise as appropriate.
- x Recommendation #3Review opportunities to improve registration and student record systems and processes to better serve the needs of students.
- x Recommendation #4: EFFOAS, IFFOAS and FSFO1 program staff reterial evith Training Officers from various volunteer departments annually to ensure communication and quality configuration and quality c

l.1)o(8-)i(8.4-)t**6**9.**14** 

2

## Comprehensive Program Review Final Summary Report (FSR) *EFFOAS/IFFOAS/FSFO1*



- Would the Exterior, Interior, and Fullervice Fire Fightprograms be better situated as professional certificates, not academic credentials?
- Should the programs be adjusted to separate of the Certificate in Fire Fighting Technologies and align it with the BC Minimum Training Standards?
- How can we improve program management and administration?

The PAC supported the recommendation to transition to professional programs. Most volunteer fire fighters are mandated to be trained for the fire service but have no desire to be a career fire fighter, and thus an academic credential is of little interestithem. As departments typically acknowledge and celebrate when students complete their courses, not attending JIBC convocation would not be seen as an issue. Further the change to professional programs would allow more flexibility to respond to the needsof the field, although the PAC acknowledged a layer of governance was still required and constrained in some ways by accreditation requirements.

Views on strict alignment to the BC Minimum Training Standards and the value of accredited programs varied. However, the PAC agreed that the names of the programs caused confusion, particularly given the disconnect between JIBC curriculum and the provinction and the provinction of the programs was agreed to be a good solution.

Consensus was that there was an ongoing place for JIBC in provide training of volunteer fire fighters. However, the PAC felt that increasing regional training activities, being more responsive to communities, improving administrative processes, affering options (evaluation only versus instruction and evaluation) were keep sustainability.

#### 3. Self-Study Team Response to ERT Report

The Program Review Team was very pleased with the discussion at the PAC meeting and appreciated the input of those present. The Fire & Safety Division is committed to formally creating a separate PAC for vocational programs, and this has been included in the action stin the Quality Assurance Action Plan (QAAP, Appendix 1). Overall, the PAC's recommendations aligned with those of the SSR and confirmed a path forward.

#### 4. Final Recommendations & Action Plan

In the process of building the QAAP, there commendations from the SelfStudy Reportwere refined and focused, and then enhanced by the feedback from the PAC and further internal discussions Recommendations were translated to actionable tasks grouped uther goals:

- 1. Vocational fire fighter programs are structured and delivered to meet the needs of BC's volunteer fire departmentand their members
- 2. EFFOAS/IFFOAS/FSEQ/ficulum reflects current standards and best practice in fire fighting.
- FSD is better able to leverage data to inform future program and curriculum improvements



### Comprehensive Program Review Final Summary Report (FSR) EFFOAS/IFFOAS/FSF01

The resulting QAA@ tails substantial changes two cational fire fighter training rograms at JIBCThis represents an opportunity to ask further questions on how this training fits within the broader provincial context and what additional steps might be required to ensure ongoing sustainability.

### Comprehensive Program Review Final Summary Report (FSR) EFFOAS/IFFOAS/FSFO1

